



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3173</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>First Record of Sea Anemone Anthopleura handi Dunn, 1978 (Actiniaria: Actinidae) from coast across the Indian Mainland</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional</u></b></p>	<p>Overall this article makes a good contribution in increasing knowledge about Actiniaria diversity in India. This article is qualified to write a scientific report.</p> <p>Based on the review of the manuscript of the article, I think the existing title "First Record of Sea Anemone Anthopleura handi Dunn, 1978 (Actiniaria: Actinidae) from coast across the Indian Mainland" is sufficient in accordance with the content of the article.</p> <p>The abstract of this article is quite comprehensive. Although brief, this abstract can clearly display the script of the article about the object, subject, location and conclusion of the study findings.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript qualifies as scientific writing</p> <p>References are sufficient but old, preferably using references of the last 5 years or 10 years. Some references of the last 5-10 years can be accessed online and for free. Visit <a href="#">Scopus preview - Scopus - Sources</a>,</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>OK</p> <p>Correction effected</p>



<b><u>suggestions/comments</u></b>	I recommend to fix english, use mendeley application for reference	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Improve the writing system according to the UPJOZ journal template	Done
<b><u>Optional</u></b> comments		

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	